# **ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT** (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

II	ECONOMIC IMPACT ST	ATEMENT				
DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON	EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER			
CA Department of Justice	Stacey Schesser	Stacey.Schesser@doj.ca.gov	415-510-4400			
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400  California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA) Regulations  Z						
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPA	CTS Include calculations and assumptio	ns in the rulemaking record.				
Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicat						
a. Impacts business and/or employees						
b. Impacts small businesses						
x c. Impacts jobs or occupations	g. Impacts individuals					
d. Impacts California competitiveness	h. None of the above (Exp	lain below):				
If any box in Items 1 a through g is checked, complete this Economic Impact Statement.  If box in Item 1.h. is checked, complete the Fiscal Impact Statement as appropriate.						
CA AG - Department of Justi		TPP				
2. The(Agency/Department)		pact of this regulation (which includes th	ne fiscal impact) is:			
Below \$10 million						
Between \$10 and \$25 million						
Between \$25 and \$50 million						
The state of the s	s over \$50 million, agencies are required to	when it a Standardina d Dan Jatan Januart	1			
Nover \$50 million [If the economic impact is over \$50 million, agencies are required to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment</u> as specified in Government Code Section 11346.3(c)]						
3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted:	15,000-400,000					
Describe the types of businesses (Include nonp	rofits): Those that fit the definition	of "business" set forth in Civ. C	ode sec. 1798.140(c).			
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses:	Up to 50%					
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be crea	ated: eliminated	l:				
Explain: We do not have reliable estim	Explain: We do not have reliable estimates of these numbers.					
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:	] Statewide					
	Local or regional (List areas):					
_	Local of regional (cist areas).		11-12			
6. Enter the number of jobs created: 261	and eliminated: 9,776		*			
Describe the types of jobs or occupations impa	cted: Employment impacts consis	st mainly of "job shuffling" (skill-	-switching turnover)			
within information-intensive sectors.						
7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California businesses to compete with other states by making it more costly to produce goods or services here?    X YES   NO						
If YES, explain briefly: Costs will increase for both resident and non-resident businesses operating in California. Costs are						
competition-neutral in two senses: 1) They are applied to all firms in the state without reference to their origin. 2) They						
would not increase local operating co	osts for CA business operations in	n other states.				

## **ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT** (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

### **ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)**

В.	ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculations and assumptions in the	he rulemaking record.	*				
1.	. What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and indiv	viduals may incur to comply with this regulation	on over its lifetime? \$ \$467M-\$16,454M				
	a. Initial costs for a small business: \$25,000	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 1,500					
	b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$75,000	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 2,500					
	c. Initial costs for an individual: \$N/A	Annual ongoing costs: \$					
	d. Describe other economic costs that may occur: See attach	ed SRIA.					
2.	. If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total costs f	or each industry: The overwhelming ma	jority of the costs are in the				
	information technology (IT) and legal services industries. For the IT sector, there will be costs as well as benefits.						
3.	If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annual costs a typical business may incur to comply with these requirements.  Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting, and other paperwork, whether or not the paperwork must be submitted. \$500-1000/yr						
4.	Will this regulation directly impact housing costs?	X NO					
	If YES, enter the	he annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$					
		Number of units:					
5.	Are there comparable Federal regulations?	× NO					
	Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence	ce of Federal regulations: The regulations	implement the CCPA, a new law				
	conferring consumer privacy rights for which there	e is no federal precedence.					
	Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may be due to State - Federal differences: \$ N/A						
C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.							
1.	Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may include among others, the health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and the State's environment:  The regulations benefit CA residents because they implement the CCPA. They provide clear direction						
	to businesses on how to inform consumers of their	r rights and how to handle their req	uests making it easier for consumers				
	to exercise their rights. They also provide greater t	ransparency on how businesses col	lect, use, and share consumers' Pl.				
2.	Are the benefits the result of: 🔀 specific statutory requirements, or 💢 goals developed by the agency based on broad statutory authority?						
	Explain: Primary benefits are from CCPA, but DOJ also	has broad rulemaking authority.					
	8. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? \$ 12 billion annually						
4.	Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing busin	ness within the State of California that would re	esult from this regulation: The CCPA will				
	likely expand legal and IT related compliance servi	ces in CA. The largest increase will b	e in the early years of compliance,				
	but ongoing expense may be diverted to specialist	: information management firms tha	at have yet to emerge.				
D.	ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION Include calculations are specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.	nd assumptions in the rulemaking record. Esti	mation of the dollar value of benefits is not				
1.	List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternatives	itives were considered, explain why not: A m	ore stringent alternative would be				
	to mandate more prescriptive regulations that requ	uire detailed training and record-ke	eping practices. A less stringent				
	regulatory alternative would, among other things,	allow limited exemption for GDPR-c	compliant firms. See SRIA.				

## **ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT** (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

### ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

# **ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT** (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

### FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNME current year and two subsequent Fiscal Year		ough 6 and attach calculations and assum	ptions of fiscal impact for the		
1. Additional expenditures in the current (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B o		ble by the State. (Approximate) ions 17500 et seq. of the Government Code	).		
\$					
a. Funding provided in		·			
Budget Act of	or Chapter	, Statutes of			
b. Funding will be requested in the C	Governor's Budget Act of				
	Fiscal Year:				
2. Additional expenditures in the current (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B o	State Fiscal Year which are NOT reiming the California Constitution and Sect	bursable by the State. (Approximate) ions 17500 et seq. of the Government Code	<b>).</b>		
\$	<del></del>				
Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimb  a. Implements the Federal mandate		formation:			
a. implements the rederal mandate					
b. Implements the court mandate se	et forth by the		Court.		
Case of:		vs			
c. Implements a mandate of the peo	ple of this State expressed in their ap	proval of Proposition No.			
Date of Election:			<del></del>		
d. Issued only in response to a speci	fic request from affected local entity(s	·).			
Local entity(s) affected:					
Local entity(s) affected:					
e. Will be fully financed from the fee	s, revenue, etc. from:				
Authorized by Section:	of	the	Code;		
f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to each;					
g. Creates, eliminates, or changes th	e penalty for a new crime or infraction	n contained in			
3. Annual Savings. (approximate)					
\$					
	<del></del>	antive or clarifying changes to current law re	gulations.		
5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation of	loes not affect any local entity or progr	am.			
6. Other. Explain	·				

# **ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT** (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

### FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

TISCALIMI ACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)	
<b>B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT</b> Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and a year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	assumptions of fiscal impact for the curren
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	4
\$ 4.739 million	
It is anticipated that State agencies will:	
a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.	
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for theFiscal Year	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.	
🗵 4. Other. Explain Unfair Competition Law Fund: \$2,912,000 in FY 2019-20 and \$2,808,000 in	FY 2020-21 and ongoing
General Fund: \$1,827,000 in FY 2019-20 and \$1,746,000 in FY 2020-21 and ongo	ing
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and att impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	ach calculations and assumptions of fisca
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	20
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
<u> </u>	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain	
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE 1
a I / Jan	4/14/19
The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM sections in SAM sections.	tions 6601-6616, and understands
he impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secreto highest ranking official in the organization.	ary must have the form signed by the
AGENCY SECRETARY	DATE ,
a Su McChli	8/14/19
Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal In	pact Statement in the STD. 399.
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE